Exhibit A – State Court Record

Case 1:22-cv-00469-JMS-MJD Document 1-1 Filed 03/10/22 Page 2 of 17 PageID #:

This is not the official court record. Official records of court proceedings may only be obtained directly from the court maintaining a particular record.

Craig Dulworth, Brianna Dulworth v. Equifax Information Services, LLC, Experian Information Solutions, Inc.

Case Number	41D04-2202-MI-000029
Court	Johnson Superior Court 4
Туре	MI - Miscellaneous Civil
Filed	02/01/2022
Status	02/01/2022 , Pending (active)

Parties to the Case

Defendant Equifax Information Services, LLC

Defendant Experian Information Solutions, Inc.

Plaintiff Dulworth, Craig

Attorney
John Thomas Steinkamp
#1989149, Retained

5214 S East ST STE 1D Indianapolis, IN 46227 317-782-9800(W)

Plaintiff Dulworth, Brianna

Attorney John Thomas Steinkamp #1989149, Retained

5214 S East ST STE 1D Indianapolis, IN 46227 317-782-9800(W)

Chronological Case Summary

02/01/2022 Case Opened as a New Filing

02/01/2022 Complaint/Equivalent Pleading Filed

Complaint/Petition

Filed By: Dulworth, Craig
Filed By: Dulworth, Brianna
File Stamp: 02/01/2022

Case 1:22-cv-00469-JMS-MJD Document 1-1 Filed 03/10/22 Page 3 of 17 PageID #:

02/01/2022 Appearance Filed

Appearance

For Party: Dulworth, Craig
For Party: Dulworth, Brianna
File Stamp: 02/01/2022

02/01/2022 Subpoena/Summons Filed

Experian Summons

Filed By: Dulworth, Craig
Filed By: Dulworth, Brianna
File Stamp: 02/01/2022

02/01/2022 Subpoena/Summons Filed

Equifax Summons

Filed By: Dulworth, Craig
Filed By: Dulworth, Brianna
File Stamp: 02/01/2022

Financial Information

* Financial Balances reflected are current representations of transactions processed by the Clerk's Office. Please note that any balance due does not reflect interest that has accrued – if applicable – since the last payment. For questions/concerns regarding balances shown, please contact the Clerk's Office.

Dulworth, Craig

Plaintiff

Balance Due (as of 03/10/2022)

0.00

Charge Summary

Description	Amount	Credit	Payment
Court Costs and Filing Fees	157.00	0.00	157.00

Transaction Summary

Date	Description	Amount
02/01/2022	Transaction Assessment	157.00
02/01/2022	Electronic Payment	(157.00)

This is not the official court record. Official records of court proceedings may only be obtained directly from the court maintaining a particular record.

SS:

STA	TF	\mathbf{OF}	IN	\mathbf{DI}	AN	J A
OIA		vi	117	$\boldsymbol{\nu}$	AII	1/1

COUNTY OF JOHNSON

CRAIG DULWORTH and BRIANNA DULWORTH,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC and EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS INC.,

Defendants.

CAUSE NO.: _____

IN THE JOHNSON SUPERIOR COURT

PETITION

COME NOW Plaintiffs Craig Dulworth and Brianna Dulworth, through counsel, and for Plaintiffs' causes of action against Defendants state as follows:

- 1. This is an action for damages brought by individual consumers against the Defendants Equifax Information Services LLC and Experian Information Solutions Inc. for violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA") 15 U.S.C. §1681 et seq.
 - 2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. §1681p and 28 U.S.C. §1331.
 - 3. Venue lies properly in this district under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b).
 - 4. Plaintiffs are Citizens of the State of Indiana.
- 5. Defendant Equifax Information Services LLC ("Equifax") is a Georgia Limited Liability Company.
- 6. Defendant Equifax was and is engaged in the business of credit reporting, all within Indiana.
 - 7. Defendant Experian Information Solutions Inc. ("Experian") is a Delaware

corporation.

- 8. Defendant Experian was and is engaged in the business of credit reporting, within the State of Indiana.
- 9. Defendants Equifax and Experian are both sophisticated entities, well aware of their obligations under the FCRA.
- 10. On or about October 12, 2018, Plaintiffs filed for joint bankruptcy protection under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code in case number 18-07856-JMC-7, filed in the Southern District of Indiana.
- 11. Plaintiffs received a bankruptcy discharge in case number 18-07856-JMC-7 on or about January 15, 2019.
- 12. As part of the bankruptcy proceedings, Plaintiffs reaffirmed an automobile loan with Ally Financial/Ally Bank ("Ally") in document twenty (20) of case number 18-07856-JMC-7.
- 13. Both prior to and after the reaffirmation of the Ally automobile loan in Plaintiffs' bankruptcy, Plaintiffs maintained current payments on the account.
- 14. After the reaffirmation of the automobile loan, payments were presumably reported to Defendants Equifax and Experian as being timely made, which is internally inconsistent with Defendants' reporting of the account.
- 15. After the reaffirmation of the automobile loan, the account should have been reported positively on Plaintiffs' Equifax and Experian credit reports, which was the purpose of the reaffirmation.
- 16. Plaintiffs Craig Dulworth and Brianna Dulworth each accessed their Equifax consumer disclosures (hereafter referred to as "Equifax credit report") on or about June 25, 2021

and discovered that their automobile loan with Ally was reported as "INCLUDED IN BANKRUPTCY" with no payment history.

- 17. Plaintiffs each accessed their Experian consumer disclosures (hereafter referred to as "Experian credit report") on or about June 25, 2021 and discovered that their automobile loan with Ally was reported as "Discharged through Bankruptcy Chapter 7/Never late."
- 18. Equifax's reporting of the Ally loan as included in bankruptcy with no payment history is false and inaccurate, due to Plaintiffs' reaffirmation agreement in case number 18-07856-JMC-7.
- 19. Experian's reporting of the Ally loan as discharged through bankruptcy is false and inaccurate, due to Plaintiffs' reaffirmation agreement in case number 18-07856-JMC-7.
- 20. Plaintiffs each accessed their Equifax credit reports again on or about August 19, 2021 and found that their automobile loan with Ally was still reported as "INCLUDED IN BANKRUPTCY" with no payment history.
- 21. Equifax's reporting of the Ally automobile loan on August 19, 2021 is false and inaccurate.
- 22. Plaintiffs disputed the inaccuracies on their Equifax and Experian credit reports in dispute letters dated September 7, 2021, sent via certified U.S. mail.
- 23. Neither Craig Dulworth nor Brianna Dulworth received a response from Defendants Equifax and Experian regarding Plaintiffs' dispute letters, indicating that both Defendants failed to reinvestigate Plaintiffs' disputes and otherwise failed to correct the inaccuracies on Plaintiffs' Equifax and Experian credit reports.
- 24. Plaintiff Brianna Dulworth accessed her Equifax credit report again on or about October 29, 2021 and found that her Ally automobile loan was still misreported as

"INCLUDED_IN_BANKRUPTCY."

- 25. Plaintiff Craig Dulworth accessed his Experian credit report again on or about October 29, 2021 and found that his Ally automobile loan was still misreported as "Discharged through Bankruptcy Chapter 7."
- 26. Accordingly, Plaintiffs disputed the inaccuracies on their Equifax and Experian reports a second time in dispute letters dated November 1, 2021, sent via certified U.S. mail.
- 27. Again, Plaintiffs did not receive a response from either Defendant Equifax or Defendant Experian regarding Plaintiffs' dispute letters, indicating that both Defendants failed to reinvestigate Plaintiffs' disputes and otherwise failed to correct the inaccuracies on Plaintiffs' Equifax and Experian credit reports.
- 28. Plaintiffs Craig Dulworth and Brianna Dulworth accessed their Equifax credit reports on or about January 12, 2022, where Equifax continues to misreport Plaintiffs' Ally automobile loan as "INCLUDED IN BANKRUPTCY" with no payment history.
- 29. Plaintiffs accessed their Experian credit reports on or about January 12, 2022, where Experian continues to misreport Plaintiffs' Ally automobile loan as "Discharged through Bankruptcy Chapter 7."
- 30. On Plaintiff Craig Dulworth's Experian credit report dated January 12, 2022, Experian states that it "completed investigation of FCRA dispute consumer disagrees" in regard to Craig Dulworth's Ally automobile loan.
- 31. Upon information and belief, Experian received notice of Plaintiffs' disputes as part of any reinvestigation(s) done by Defendant Experian, indicating that Defendant Experian failed

to contact Ally as part of Experian's reinvestigation while failing to correct the information on Plaintiffs' credit reports.

Count I: Violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act

Comes now Plaintiffs and for Count I against Defendants Equifax and Experian and alleges to the Court:

- 32. Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth at length herein.
- 33. Defendants Equifax and Experian have no reasonable means of monitoring or updating Plaintiffs' Ally automobile loan to reconcile reaffirmed debts with other accounts that are discharged in bankruptcy.
- 34. Despite this knowledge and reporting of Plaintiffs' January 15, 2019 bankruptcy discharge, Defendants Equifax and Experian have no reasonable means of monitoring or updating Ally Financial correctly once a bankruptcy discharge is issued.
- 35. Despite this knowledge and reporting of the January 15, 2019 bankruptcy discharge, the credit reports prepared by Defendants Equifax and Experian still falsely report Plaintiffs' reaffirmed Ally account with inaccurate and misleading information.
- 36. Plaintiffs' creditors and potential creditors have accessed Plaintiffs' reports while the misreporting was on the credit report and were misinformed by Defendants about Plaintiffs' credit worthiness.
- 37. Pertinent hereto, Equifax regularly engaged in whole or in part in the practice of assembling or evaluating consumer credit information or other information on consumers to furnish consumer reports to third parties, and which uses any means or facility of interstate commerce to prepare or furnish consumer reports.

- 38. Pertinent hereto, Experian regularly engaged in whole or in part in the practice of assembling or evaluating consumer credit information or other information on consumers to furnish consumer reports to third parties, and which uses any means or facility of interstate commerce to prepare or furnish consumer reports.
- 39. Pertinent hereto, the Plaintiffs Craig Dulworth and Brianna Dulworth are "consumers" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1681a(c).
- 40. Pertinent hereto, the above-mentioned credit reports were written, oral, or other communication of any information by a consumer reporting agency bearing on a consumer's credit worthiness, credit standing, credit capacity, character, general reputation, personal characteristics, or mode of living used or expected to be used or collected in whole or in part to serve as a factor in establishing the consumer's eligibility for credit or insurance to be used primarily for personal, family, or household purposes; employment purposes; or any other purpose authorized under 15 U.S.C. 1681b.
- 41. Under 15 U.S.C. §1681n and 15 U.S.C. §1681o, Defendants Equifax and Experian are liable to the Plaintiffs for willfully and negligently violating the requirements imposed on Defendants Equifax and Experian of information under 15 U.S.C. §1681e(b) in assuring reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy to prevent such reporting of inaccurate information in Plaintiffs' reports.
- 42. In addition, Plaintiffs disputed the inaccuracy to Defendants Equifax and Experian as described above.
- 43. Upon information and belief, Defendants Equifax and Experian reported to Ally that Plaintiffs disputed the reporting of Plaintiffs' Ally automobile loan as included or discharged in bankruptcy.

- 44. As previously described, Defendants Equifax and Experian failed to correct the inaccuracies or otherwise reverified to Plaintiffs that the reporting was accurate.
- 45. Under 15 U.S.C. §1681n and 15 U.S.C. §1681o, Defendants Equifax and Experian are liable to the Plaintiffs for willfully and negligently violating the requirements imposed on Defendants Equifax and Experian of information under 15 U.S.C. §1681i wherein the Defendants failed to use reasonable procedures to reinvestigate Plaintiffs' disputes and, likewise, took inadequate action to correct Plaintiffs' consumer reports or delete the false data or otherwise conduct an appropriate, lawful reinvestigation.
- 46. As a result of Defendants' violations, Plaintiff Brianna Dulworth was denied a credit increase on a line of credit she owns with Comenity Bank.
- 47. As a result of Defendants Equifax's violations, Plaintiffs Craig Dulworth and Brianna Dulworth were twice denied an automobile loan with Teacher's Credit Union.
- 48. The inaccurate information negatively reflects upon the Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs' credit repayment history, and Plaintiffs' financial responsibility as debtors and Plaintiffs' credit worthiness.
- 49. Defendant Equifax and Experian's failures to maintain reasonable policies and procedures to ensure the maximum possible accuracy of its credit reporting, as well as Defendants' failures to conduct reasonable reinvestigations has harmed Plaintiffs in that Plaintiffs were denied the benefit of their reaffirmation agreement and the money paid to secure that benefit.
- 50. The conduct of Defendants Equifax and Experian was a direct and proximate cause, and a substantial factor, in bringing about the serious injuries, actual damages and harm to the Plaintiffs and Defendants Equifax and Experian are liable to the Plaintiffs for the full amount of statutory, actual and punitive damages, along with the attorneys' fees and the costs of litigation,

#: 15

and such further relief, as permitted by law.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs seeks judgment in Plaintiffs' favor and damages against Defendants Equifax and Experian, based on the following requested relief:

- a. Actual damages;
- b. Statutory damages;
- c. Punitive damages;
- d. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees under 15 U.S.C. §§1681n and 1681o; and
- e. Such other and further relief as may be necessary, just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ John T. Steinkamp
John T. Steinkamp, #19891-49
Attorney for Defendant
5214 S. East Street, Suite D-1
Indianapolis, IN 46227
317-780-8300
john@johnsteinkampandassociates.com

Demand for Jury Trial

Comes now Plaintiff and demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

/s/ John T. Steinkamp John T. Steinkamp, #19891-49 In the Johnson Superior Court

CRAIG DULWORTH as	nd	
BRIANNA DULWORTI		
	Plaintiff	
EXPERIAN INFORMATION SERV	VICES, LLC	Cause No
	Defendant	
TO DEFENDANT: (Name)	EXPERIAN c/o	Corporation Service Company
(Address)	33	4 North Senate Ave.
	India	anapolis, IN 46204-1708
You are hereby notified that you ha above.	ave been sued by the per	rson named as plaintiff and in the Court indicated
The nature of the suit against you i the relief sought or the demand made agains		which is attached to this Summons. It also states
within twenty (20) days, commencing	the day after you recei	replaint must be filed either by you or your attorney ve this Summons, (or twenty-three (23), days if ay be rendered against you for the relief demanded
If you have a claim for relief again assert it in your written answer.	nst the plaintiff arising f	rom the same transaction or occurrence, you must
If you need the name of an attorney, you or the Marion County Bar Association Lawy 2/1/2022	may contact the Indianapoler Referral Service (634-39	is Bar Association Lawyer Referral Service (200-2222), 50).
Oated (The following manner of servi	Clerk, Johnson	County Superior Court SFAI
Registered or certified ma	•	\\
Service at place of emplo	oyment, to-wit:	WDIANA
Service on individual	(Personal or copy) at above	
Service on agent. (Specif		rporation Service Company
Other service. (Specify)		
John T. Steinkamp, 19891		on County Superior Court
Attorney for Plaintiff		erson St. Franklin, IN 46131 36-5000
5214 S. East. St., D1 Indp	ls, IN	50-5000
Address	-	
(317)780-8300		
Telephone	Tele	phone

Case 1:22-cv-00469-JMS-MJD Document 1-1 Filed 03/10/22 Page 13 of 17 PageID SHERIFF'S RETURN ON SERVICE OF SUMMONS

I hereby certify the	at I have served this su	ımmons on the	day of		, 20	<u> </u>	
(1) By delivering a	a copy of the Summon	s and a copy of the compl	aint to the	defendant,			
(2) By leaving a co	opy of the Summons a	and a copy of the complain	ıt at				
		abode ofabove				sever II	
(3) Other Service	or Remarks:						
			_				
Sheriff's Costs			Sherif				
				puty			
	CI	LERK'S CERTIFI	CATE O)F MAILIN	G		
I hereby certify th		day of	_		_	this Summons and	a copy of the
at the address furnished			•				•
			Clerk,	Marion Superior	Court		
Dated:		, 20	By: _				
	RETUR	RN ON SERVICE	OF SUM	AMONS BY	MAIL	•	
I hereby certify th	at the attached return	receipt was received by	me showin	g that the Summ	ons and a	copy of the compl	aint mailed to
defendant		was accepted by the	defendant	on the	day of _	*	, 20
		receipt was received by m		that the Summor	is and a co	opy of the complain	t was returned
		receipt was received by		o that the Summ	ons and a	copy of the comp	laint mailed to
		was accept				-	
		, 20				- On	
defendant on the	uay or	, 20	Clerk,	Marion Superior			
			Ву: _				
			D	eputy			
l el al	♣ [5]	l l	I I	St.	1 1	1 1	II Ca
Address	John T. Steinkamp, 19891-49			JPE			Cause No.
one s	ey fo			RIC			No.
	teini	, s		\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\			
	(am	HE					
	F , 1	RI					
	989	SHERIFF'S COSTS			4	VS.	
		3 C					
		So					Roc
		TS		SUMMONS SUPERIOR COURT ROOM NO.			Room No.
)efe	Plaintiff	l o
					Defendant	ltiff	
					#		

In the Johnson Superior Court

CRAIG DULWORTH and	
BRIANNA DULWORTH	
11.00	Plaintiff
EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC	Cause No
	Defendant
TO DEFENDANT: (Name) EQUIFA	AX c/o Corporation Service Company
(Address) 135	North Pennsylvania Street, Ste. 1610
	Indianapolis, IN, 46204
You are hereby notified that you have been sucabove.	ed by the person named as plaintiff and in the Court indicated
The nature of the suit against you is stated in the relief sought or the demand made against you be the p	the complaint which is attached to this Summons. It also states plaintiff.
within twenty (20) days, commencing the day aft this Summons was received by mail), or a judgment by plaintiff.	ng to the complaint must be filed either by you or your attorney ter you receive this Summons, (or twenty-three (23), days if by default may be rendered against you for the relief demanded
If you have a claim for relief against the plair assert it in your written answer.	ntiff arising from the same transaction or occurrence, you must
•	the Indianapolis Bar Association Lawyer Referral Service (269-2222), ervice (634-3950).
Dated Cler	rk, Johnson County Superior Court (Seal)
(The following manner of service of summo	ons is hereby designated.)
Registered or certified mail.	
Service at place of employment, to-wi	t
Service on individual (Personal or	copy) at above address.
Service on agent. (Specify)	Corporation Service Company
Other service. (Specify)	
John T. Steinkamp, 19891-49	Johnson County Superior Court
Attorney for Plaintiff	E Jefferson St. Franklin, IN 46131 317-736-5000
5214 S. East. St., D1 Indpls, IN	317-730-3000
Address	
(317)780-8300	
Telephone	Telephone

Case 1:22-cv-00469-JMS-MJD Document 1-1 Filed 03/10/22 Page 15 of 17 PageID SHERIFF'S RETURN ON SERVICE OF SUMMONS

I hereby certify th	at I have served this	s summons on the	_ day of		, 20	_	
(1) By delivering	a copy of the Summ	nons and a copy of the comp	laint to the	defendant			
(2) By leaving a c	opy of the Summon	s and a copy of the complain	nt at				
		of abode ofosaid defendant at the above				week to the second seco	
(3) Other Service	or Remarks:				** ***		
Sheriff's Costs			Sherif	f			
			By:	enuty			
		CLERK'S CERTIFI					
I hereby certify th	nat on the	_ day of	, 20_	, I mailed	a copy of	this Summons and	a copy of the
complaint to the defer at the address furnishe			, by			mail, requesting a	return receipt,
				, Marion Superio			
Dated:		, 20	By:	\			
				Deputy			
	RETU	JRN ON SERVICE	OF SU	MMONS BY	MAIL		
I hereby certify the	nat the attached ret	urn receipt was received by	me showin	g that the Summ	nons and a	copy of the compl	laint mailed to
		was accepted by the					
		rn receipt was received by n	_	that the Summor	ns and a co	ppy of the complain	it was returned
				- that the Comm		6 th	
		urn receipt was received by					
		was accept					
defendant on the	day of	, 20		, Marion Superio			
			Ву: _	,			
			D). E	Peputy			
Address	Joh			SUI			Cau
ress	John T. Steinkamp, 19891–49			PER			Cause No.
[5	y for						l o
		SE		R C			
	ainti			ë			
	ff , 18	4					
)891	F'S				VS.	
		Ω					
		SHERIFF'S COSTS		SUMMONS SUPERIOR COURT ROOM NO.			Room No.
		S		jo. VS			m
				• • •	Defendant	Plaintiff	o
					ndaı	tiff	
				'	=		

41004+2202-MI-06062903/10/22 Page 16 of 17 Fige 10/2022 12:28 PM Johnson Superior Court 4 Johnson County, Indiana

STATE OF INDIANA	IN THE JOHNSON SUPERIOR COURT SS:
COUNTY OF JOHNSON	55.
CRAIG DULWORTH and BRIANNA DULWORTH,	
Plaintiffs,	
VS.	
EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC and EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS INC.,	
Defendants.	

APPEARANCE BY ATTORNEY IN A CIVIL CASE

Party Classification: Initiating X Responding _____ Intervening _____

Comes now Attorney John T. Steinkamp, and enters his appearance on behalf of NOW

Plaintiffs Craig Dulworth and Brianna Dulworth, in the above-entitled civil proceeding.

Respectfully submitted

/s/ John T. Steinkamp John T. Steinkamp, #19891-49 Attorney for Plaintiff 5214 S. East Street, Suite D-1 Indianapolis, IN 46227 (317) 780-8300 (317) 217-1320 john@johnsteinkampandassociates.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned attorney hereby certifies that the foregoing has been served via certified mail to the name and address listed below on this 1st day of February, 2022.

Equifax Information Services, LLC c/o Corporation Service Company 135 North Pennsylvania St. 1610 Indianapolis, IN 46204

Experian c/o CT Corporation System 334 North Senate Ave. Indianapolis, IN 46204-1708

> /s/ John Steinkamp John Steinkamp John Steinkamp and Associates 5214 S. East Street, Ste. E-1 Indianapolis, IN 46227 (317) 780-8300